

REMARKS

The Examiner allowed claims 1-9 and 11-20. Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter.

The Examiner rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 10's recitation of "OLE_LINK1" is unclear (note that corresponding method claim 20 does not recite "OLE_LINK1"). In response, Applicants have amended claim 10 to remove the term "OLE_LINK1". Therefore Applicants contend that claim 10 is in condition for allowance.

10/605,617

7

CONCLUSION

Based on the preceding arguments, Applicants respectfully believe that all pending claims and the entire application meet the acceptance criteria for allowance and therefore request favorable action. If the Examiner believes that anything further would be helpful to place the application in better condition for allowance, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and/or credit Deposit Account 09-0456.

Date: 11/22/2004

Jack P. Friedman
Jack P. Friedman
Registration No. 44,688

Schmeiser, Olson & Watts
3 Lear Jet Lane, Suite 201
Latham, New York 12110
(518) 220-1850